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#### Abstract

A new type I palladium-catalyzed pseudo-domino process is developed, in which a single Pd-based catalytic system promotes two mechanistically unrelated, sequential catalytic cycles in chronologically distinct order. Suitable precursors undergo an allylic alkylation and a Heck coupling in sequence, affording polycondensed pyrrolidone derivatives. Depending on the starting precursors, intra/inter or doubly intramolecular processes can be obtained. The allylic alkylation process takes place always very smoothly. On the other hand, the Heck coupling turns out to be rather difficult either when the process is intermolecular, or when an intramolecular process generates polycondensed structures featuring three fused bonds connected to a common carbon atom. In such difficult cases, use of the Herrmann-Beller phosphapalladacycle allowed to catalyze the coupling. This study demonstrates also that allylic alkylations can be catalyzed by the Herrmann-Beller phosphapalladacycle.
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## 1. Introduction

The research in the field of domino reactions is attracting considerable attention in synthetic organic chemistry since it enables the rapid assembly of complex molecules in one-pot processes [1]. In this context, very elegant examples of transition metal-catalyzed domino processes wherein a single catalytic cycle entails several sequential bond transformations have been recently reviewed [2].

[^0]On the other hand, multistep palladium-catalyzed processes featuring sequential and mechanistically independent catalytic cycles have been so far much less studied [3]. Albeit synthetically interesting and mechanistically intriguing, their success cannot be given for granted owing to possible undesired sequential enchaining or incompatibilities among the different catalytic cycles. In order to better appreciate these processes, we recently proposed to conceptually differentiate these transformations from the more traditional domino transformations, by classifying them as "transition metal-catalyzed pseudo-domino" processes (TMPDOM). Further subdivision into type I or type II process is also possible, if a single multipurpose catalytic system ("M"), or mutually compatible catalytic systems of different nature ('M1, M2, etc.") are respectively involved (Fig. 1).


Fig. 1. Classification of domino transition metal-catalyzed processes.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. The intralinter-molecular process

We started our investigations in multistep one-pot palladium-catalyzed processes by studying the behavior of mixtures of amide $\mathbf{1}$ and aryl bromides in the presence of palladium catalysts. After considerable experimentation, we could observe the expected allylic alkylation [4]/ Heck coupling pseudo-domino sequence (Pd-PDOM type I), which led to ( $E$ )-3- $\beta$-styryl pyrrolidone derivatives $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{2 e}$ [5]. Despite the overall positive outcome, acceptable results for the latter step were obtained only when the Herrmann-Beller phosphapalladacycle [6,7] was used as the catalyst. Such a result was mechanistically very interesting, since it demonstrated for the first time that allylic alkylations can be efficiently catalyzed by this palladacycle, a catalyst whose mechanism in the Heck coupling has been long debated [8]. On the other hand, complete arylation of the vinyl chain was never achieved, and the high temperature required brought about demethoxycarbonylation of the product (Scheme 1).

| Entry | Ar | product | yield (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $3-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2a | 58 |
| 2 | $4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2b | 38 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 2c | 54 |
| 4 | $3-\mathrm{MeCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2d | 59 |
| 5 | $4-\mathrm{MeCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2 e | 60 |

i: NaH ( 1.2 equiv.), ArBr ( 1.4 equiv.), AcONa ( 1.1
equiv.), Herrmann-Beller cat. ( 0.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NAc}$,
$140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22-47 \mathrm{~h}$. 1-Benzyl-4-vinyl-pyrrolidin-2-one was often obtained as a secondary product (see experimental).

Scheme 1. Pd-PDOM intramolecular allylic alkylation/intermolecular Heck coupling.


Scheme 2. Construction of $o$-haloarylated precursor $\mathbf{B}$ and its expected doubly intramolecular Pd-PDOM transformation.

### 2.2. The doubly intramolecular process

As an extension of the above study, we decided to investigate next a doubly intramolecular variant of the Pd-PDOM process. We judged, in fact, that such a modification could enable the one-pot construction of synthetically interesting complex polycyclic structures. In particular, we envisaged to convert amide $\mathbf{A}$ into the $o$-haloaryl derivative $\mathbf{B}$, in the hope that the transiently generated 3-vinyl pyrrolidone $\mathbf{C}$ could directly undergo an unimolecular Heck process, to generate the polycyclic structure D (Scheme 2).

Indeed, such a strategy has been successfully exploited by us in the synthesis of an aza-analogue of epiisopicropodophyllin, the $\mathrm{C}-3$ epimer of podophyllotoxin (Scheme 3) [9].

In order to gain more information on the scope of above Pd-PDOM process, we then decided to study the double cyclization sequence as a function of the nature of the group stabilizing the $\alpha$-amide anion, as well as of the structure of the allylic moiety in the precursor.

Accordingly, amide 1a was alkylated with $o$-bromobenzyl bromide, and the newly generated amide 3a was submitted to cyclization (Scheme 4). In contrast to the intra/inter-molecular version, we soon realized that the Herrmann-Beller phosphapalladacycle was no more essential for the Heck process. Indeed, deprotonation
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Scheme 3. Doubly intramolecular Pd-PDOM process affording an epiisopicropodophyllin aza-analogue.
of amide 3a with NaH in DMF, followed by treatment with $\left(\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 1,2\right.$-bis-(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe)) and appropriate heating gave rise smoothly to the trans and cis double cyclization products trans-4 and cis -4 in a 38:62 ratio.

Interesting to note, this double intramolecular process is much faster than the previously described intra/intermolecular approach. Such a result suggests that the ratedetermining step of the catalytic cycle associated with the intermolecular Heck coupling is likely to be the carbopalladation step, and not the oxidative addition step [10]. It should also be pointed out that the allylic alkylation process is the stereodetermining step, and its selectivity is directly transposed into the diastereomeric ratio of the final tricyclic product. Furthermore, the trans/cis ratios observed in this step are expected to reflect a kinetic control. In fact, the allylation processes are usually irreversible processes, and, in contrast to related cyclizations previously studied by us [4,11], the resulting 2,2-disubstituted-3-vinyl pyrrolidones cannot undergo equilibration via enolization.

The same cyclization protocol has been successively applied to the two $o$-bromobenzylated amides $\mathbf{3 b}$ and 3c, having respectively a nitrile and a phenysulfonyl group as carbanion-stabilizing moieties. In the event, the cyano derivative afforded the expected tricyclic structures trans $\mathbf{- 4 b}$ and cis $\mathbf{- 4 b}$ in a 89:11 ratio, whereas the sulfonylated derivative gave cis $\mathbf{- 4} \mathbf{c}$ as the only detectable diastereomer.

Unequivocal assignment of the stereochemistry at the ring junction was obtained via a combination of spectroscopic and diffraction methods. Indeed, in all the three cases studied, only one diastereomer of the couple showed a nil allylic coupling constant value $\left({ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{ab}}=0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum. Furthermore, the same type of isomer was always associated with a larger chemical shift difference between the two sets of peaks pertaining to the $N$-benzylic AB system, as compared with the other isomer. Such a behavior clearly suggested that a correlation exists between the above


Fig. 2. ortep drawing of the tricyclic amide cis $\mathbf{- 4} \mathbf{c}$.
spectroscopic trends and the relative configuration of the ring junction. On the other hand, the X-ray structure of the crystalline sulfone derivative cis $-4 \mathbf{c}$ (Fig. 2), which in turn possessed a nil allylic coupling constant value, unveiled a cis stereochemistry at the ring junction. Accordingly, we could tentatively correlate the isomers featuring ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{ab}}=0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to the cis configurated products, and those having $J \neq 0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to the trans ones (Table 1).

Inspection of Table 1 reveals that the trans:cis ratio of the tricyclic products is directly dependent on the steric requirements of the carbanion-stabilizing group (EWG). More precisely, the bigger the stabilizing group, the higher the resulting cis:trans ratio. Such a trend may be cautiously rationalized on steric grounds, according to the differential destabilizing eclipsing interactions ensuing at the transition state level, between the internal
 NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures.

Table 1
$J_{\text {ab }}$ constants and relative ppm differences for diagnostic sets of peak


| Entry | EWG | Compound | ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{Hz})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | AB system $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | trans $\mathbf{- 4 a}$ | 1.85 | 0.24 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | cis $\mathbf{- 4 a}$ | 0 | 0.64 |
| 3 | NC | trans $\mathbf{- 4 b}$ | 1.90 | 0.13 |
| 4 | NC | cis $\mathbf{- 4 b}$ | 0 | 0.61 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{PhO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | cis $\mathbf{- 4} \mathbf{c}$ | 0 | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average value.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative ppm difference between the two sets of peaks.
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ portion of the allyl fragment and, either the EWG, or the bromobenzylic residue [12]. Accordingly, the stereochemical outcome may be accounted for, assuming that the internal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ portion of the allyl fragment will preferentially eclipse the less sterically demanding group. Hence, the trans product will be favored when the bromobenzylic residue is bulkier than the EWG (nitrile derivative), whereas the preference reverts when the steric requirements of EWG become overwhelming (sulfone derivative) (see Scheme 5).

With the aim of obtaining synthetically more complex polycondensed structures, we next envisaged to extend our cyclization studies to precursors wherein the allylic moiety is incorporated into a cyclic structure. Thus, for example, eliciting of the above-described pseudo-domino process on the new precursor $\mathbf{F}$, obtainable via $o$ halobenzylation of amide $\mathbf{E}$, was anticipated to afford


Scheme 6. Expected Pd-PDOM doubly intramolecular process on the cyclic precursor $\mathbf{F}$ and comparison with lysergic acid structure.
the polycondensed structure $\mathbf{G}$, a compound featuring the lysergic acid backbone (Scheme 6).

Accordingly, the new $o$-bromobenzylated amide 6, in turn obtained from the cyclic precursor 5 [13], was prepared and tested for cyclization (Scheme 7). Deprotonation of 5 with NaH at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by treatment with $o$-bromobenzyl bromide in DMF at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gave uneventfully the expected precursor in $95 \%$ yield. Then, treatment of 6 with NaH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMF, followed by addition of the catalytic system $\left(\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /\right.$ dppe $)$ and heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gave the allylic alkylation product 7 in a 90:10 trans:cis ratio as the only new product (entry 1 ). The same result was obtained when the HerrmannBeller catalyst was used in place of the $\left(\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /\right.$ dppe) system, thereby confirming the ability of this catalyst to catalyze the allylic alkylation reaction (entry 2 ). On the other hand, when the latter reaction was performed at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and in the presence of AcOK , formation of the desired tetracyclic product $\mathbf{8}$ as the only



[^1]

$\begin{aligned} 5: R & =\mathrm{H} \\ a-6: R & =\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{O}\end{aligned}$




| Entry | cat. System | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | base | trans- $7:$ cis- $\mathbf{7}: \mathbf{8}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | yield $($ trans $-7+$ cis $-7+8)(\%)$ | yield $\mathbf{8}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /$ dppe | 50 | - | $90: 10: 0$ | 80 | - |
| 2 | Herrmann-Beller | 50 | - | $90: 10: 0$ | 80 | - |
| 3 | Herrmann-Beller | 130 | AcOK | $48: 8: 44$ | 85 | 40 |
| 4 | Herrmann-Beller | 130 | $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$ | $20: 10: 70$ | 85 | 60 |

a) Conversion of 5 to $6: \mathrm{NaH}, o-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{DMF}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $95 \%$ ). b) Determined on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures.

Scheme 7. Preparation of the cyclic precursor 6 and its $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed cyclization.
diastereomer, together with trans-7 and cis-7, was observed in a 44:48:8 ratio, respectively (entry 3). Gratifyingly enough, when AcOK was replaced for $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$, so as to mimic Jeffery conditions [14], the 8:trans-7:cis-7 ratio improved to 70:20:10 and the yield of isolated $\mathbf{8}$ raised to $60 \%$. The results assembled in Scheme 7 clearly indicate that tetracycle 8 has to originate exclusively from the cyclization of trans-7, the other diastereomer being too strained to do so. An NOE difference experiment on $\mathbf{8}$ unequivocally demonstrated the spatial proximity of the three vicinal methine hydrogen atoms $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$, thereby confirming the stereochemical assignment of this compound, and consequently of its precursor (trans-7) and the epimer of the latter (cis-7).

Attainment of the tetracyclic structure $\mathbf{8}$ as the only diastereomer in a satisfactory yield was a remarkable result, due to the intrinsic high diastereoselectivity of the intramolecular allylic alkylation in combination with the
experimentally observed intramolecular Heck coupling of the only major isomer. It has also to be pointed out that while the former Pd-PDOM process features an achiral precursor (3), which is transformed into 4 with the concomitant generation of two vicinal stereogenic centers (internal diastereoselection), the precursor of the latter process (6) bears a stereogenic center, capable of governing the allylic alkylation step (diastereofacial selection). Furthermore, the presence of the pre-existing ring structure in precursor $\mathbf{6}$ forces the syn regiospecific dehydropalladation, during the Heck coupling, so as to generate an additional stereogenic center in the final product (Scheme 8, compare sequence $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ with $\mathbf{6 \rightarrow M} \rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{8}$ ).
Coming back to the allylic alkylation step, the same steric grounds as invoked in the former process may account for the observed stereochemical result. However, in this latter case uncertainty on configuration of the $\eta^{3}$-allyl fragment is no more present, owing to its


Scheme 8. Comparison of the dehydropalladation processes during transformations $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{8}$. Key for the stereogenic centers: $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ generated during the allylic alkylation; $(\Delta)$ generated during the Heck coupling; ( $\square$ ) pre-existing in the substrate.


Scheme 9. Rationalization of the stereochemical outcome in the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed intramolecular allylic alkylation of amide 6.
incorporation into the six-membered structure. As a matter of fact, cyclization of 6 appears to be more selective than that of the corresponding acyclic methoxycarbonylated precursor 4a (Scheme 9, compare also entry 1 in Scheme 4 with entries 1 or 2 in Scheme 7).

## 3. Concluding remarks

This study has shown a new type I palladiumcatalyzed pseudo-domino process, wherein a single Pdbased catalytic system is able to promote two mechanistically distinct, successive catalytic cycles in a precise chronological order. Suitable precursors were synthesized and submitted to sequential intra/inter or doubly intramolecular allylic alkylation/Heck coupling sequences, thereby obtaining differently substituted pyrrolidone derivatives. While the allylic alkylation step took place always very easily, the Heck coupling turned out to be rather hard, either when it was intermolecular, or when polycondensed structures bearing three fused bonds connected to a common carbon atom were generated. In such difficult cases, the Herrmann-Beller phosphapalladacycle allowed to catalyze the Heck coupling and, at the same time, the preceding allylic alkylation step. Further work is currently underway to explore applications of the above methodology as well as other TM-PDOM processes.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General

All reactions were conducted under dried nitrogen or argon atmosphere using oven-dried glassware. For airand/or water-sensitive reactions, glassware was flamedried and then allowed cooling under argon or dried nitrogen atmosphere before use. All solvents were purified and distilled according to standard methods. Chromatographic purifications were conducted using $40-63$ or $15-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ silica gel. All NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Elemental analyses were carried out with accepted tolerance of $\pm 0.3$ units on carbon (C), hydrogen (H) and nitrogen (N). All compounds were
isolated as oils unless otherwise specified, and their purities were determined to be $>95 \%$ by NMR analysis.

### 4.2. General procedure for the synthesis 1-benzyl-4-[(E)-2-(3-methoxy-phenyl)-vinyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (2a), 1-benzyl-4-[(E)-2-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-vinyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one ( $\mathbf{2 b}$ ), 1-benzyl-4-[(E)-2-phenyl-vinyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (2c), 1-benzyl-4-[(E)-2-(3-acetyl-phenyl)-vinyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (2d), 1-benzyl-4-[(E)-2-(4-acetyl-phenyl)-vinyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (2e)

To a solution of the acyclic precursor $\mathbf{1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NAc}(5 \mathrm{ml})$, under nitrogen atmosphere, cooled in a water-ice bath, NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) ( 0.24 mmol ) was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. for 20 min . Then, the proper aryl-halide (3-bromoanisole for 2a, 4-bromoanisole for $\mathbf{2 b}$, bromobenzene for 2c, 3-bromoacetophenone for 2d, 4-bromoacetophenone for $2 \mathbf{e}$ ) ( 0.28 mmol ), anhydrous $\mathrm{AcONa}(0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trans-di-( $\mu$-acetate)-bis[ $o$-(di- $o$-tolylphosphine)benzyl]dipalladium(II) (Herrmann-Beller catalyst) ( 0.02 mmol ) were added in this order with stirring. The resulting mixture was brought to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the appropriate time (2a: $47 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{2 b}: 50 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{2 c}: 31 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{2 d}$ and $\mathbf{3 d}: 22 \mathrm{~h}$ ), then a 25 wt. \% aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The collected organic phases were dried and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (hexane$\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{AcOEt})$ gave the pure compounds as oils ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 58 \%$; $\mathbf{2 b}$, $38 \%$; 2c, $54 \%$; 2d, $59 \%$; 2e, 60\%). 1-Benzyl-4-vinyl-pyrrolidin-2-one (see below for the characterization) was also obtained as an undesired byproduct in 36, 42, and $30 \%$ during the preparation of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 c}$, respectively. 2a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=16.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22-$ $3.03(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.91-6.75(3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.15(6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 35.5,37.7,46.5,52.0,55.2,111.5$, $113.3,118.8,127.6,127.7,128.2,128.8,129.6,131.0$, $136.3,138.1,159.9,173.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3034,2930$, $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 307 [22], 186 [2], 173 [15], 160 [39], 159 [46], 158 [9], 91 [100]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 78.15; H, 6.89; N, 4.56. Found: C, 78.06; $\mathrm{H}, 6.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.47 \% .2 \mathbf{b}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$
2.39 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5$, $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18-3.05(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.8, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.39-$ $7.18(7 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 35.6$, $37.9,46.5,52.2,55.3,114.0,127.3,127.7,127.8,128.2$, 128.7, 129.4, $130.4,136.4,159.3,174.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3036,2932,1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (EI) m/z (\%): $308\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 8\right], 307$ [26], 292 [2], 173 [7], 160 [69], 159 [46], 145 [18], 144 [20], 134 [29], 121 [26], 120 [16], 119 [5], 91 [100]. 2c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.40$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1,8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.22-3.05(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $7.39-7.17(10 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 35.9, 37.2, 46.5, 52.0, 115.7, 126.2, 127.7, 128.2, 128.7, 128.8, 130.0, 131.1, 136.6, 138.5, 173.9 ppm . IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3033,2930,2858,1669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 278 [6], 277 [27], 131 [10], 130 [81], 129 [90], 128 [36], 115 [39], 91 [100]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 82.28$; H, 6.90; N, 5.05. Found: C, 82.16; H, 6.78; N, 4.96\%. 2d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9$, $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.24-3.07(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J_{\text {trans }}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.51-7.22(7 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.77(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 26.7,35.5,37.6,46.6,51.9,125.8$, 127.5, 127.7, 128.2, 128.8, 128.9, 130.2, 130.7, 131.5, $136.3,137.1,137.5,173.7,198.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3034, 2928, 1690, $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $m / z(\%): 320$ [12], 319 [46], 306 [1], 305 [4], 278 [11], 277 [37], 201 [16], 129 [88], 128 [85], 127 [38], 120 [89], 91 [100]. 2e: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25-3.06$ $(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=\right.$ $16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $7.41-7.22(7 \mathrm{H}), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 26.6,35.6,37.6,46.6,51.8$, $126.3,127.7,128.2,128.7,128.8,130.2,133.0,136.1$, $136.3,141.2,173.6,197.5 \mathrm{ppm}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3035,2925$, 2857, 1690, $1675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 320 [8], 319 [40], 318 [5], 304 [1], 172 [11], 146 [9], 130 [11], 129 [84], 128 [65], 120 [46], 104 [13], 91 [100]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 78.97; H, 6.63; N, 4.39. Found: C, 78.84; H, 6.61; N, 4.27\%. 1-Benzyl-4-vinyl-pyrrolidin-2-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5$, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07-2.86(2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44($ system $\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=\right.$ $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39-7.17(5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $35.9,37.3,46.6,51.8,115.8,127.7,128.2,128.7,136.3$, $138.5,174.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3069,3033,2929,2857$, $1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (EI) m/z (\%): 202 [13], 201 [69], 146 [19], 146 [20], 104 [19], 103 [22], 91 [100]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 77.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.96$. Found: C, 77.48; H, 7.39; N, 6.79\%.
4.3. General procedure for the synthesis of $( \pm)-(Z)-4$ -\{benzyl-[2-(2-bromo-benzyl)-2-methoxycarbonyl-acetyl]-amino $\}$-but-2-enyl-acetate ( $\mathbf{3 a}$ ), ( $\pm$ )-(Z)-4-\{benzyl-[2-(2-bromo-benzyl)-2-cyano-acetyl]-amino\}-but-2-enyl-acetate ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ ), ( $\pm$ )-(Z)-4-\{benzyl-[2-(2-bromo-benzyl)-2-phenylsulfonyl-acetyl]-amino \}-but-2-enyl-acetate ( $\mathbf{3 c}$ )

To a solution of the acyclic substrate ( $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b}$, or $\mathbf{1 c})$ ( 1.50 mmol ) in dry DMF ( 6 ml ), under nitrogen atmosphere, cooled in a water-ice bath, $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) ( 1.80 mmol ) was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. for 20 min . 2-Bromobenzyl bromide $(1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ as solution in dry DMF ( 2 ml ) was added and reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . A 25 wt. $\%$ aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The collected organic phases were dried and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (hexane$\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{AcOEt})$ gave the pure products as oils (3a, $90 \%$; 3b, $64 \%$; and 3c, $86 \%$ ). 3a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 51 \%), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 49 \%), 3.53-3.29(2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.69 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 51 \%$ ), 3.75 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 49 \%$ ), 3.86 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 49 \%$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 51 \%, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.63-4.33 (4H), 5.69-5.04 (2H), 7.53-6.86 (9H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 20.8,35.6,35.7,42.9$, $44.4,47.6,47.7,48.8,50.6,52.6,59.6,59.8,124.5,124.7$, 126.4, 127.0, 127.2, 127.4, 127.6, 127.6, 127.7, 128.0, $128.5,128.7,128.8,129.0,129.4,132.5,132.6,132.7$, $132.8,135.8,136.6,137.3,137.4,168.3,169.5,169.5$, 170.69 ppm . IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3005,2957,1736,1642 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (EI) $m / z$ (\%): 490 [0.2], 488 [0.2], 430 [9], 428 [14], 409 [13], 408 [10], 171 [28], 169 [29], 91 [100]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 59.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.79$. Found: C, 59.59; H, 5.47; N, 2.61\%. 3b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 45 \%), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $55 \%), 3.53-3.21(2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-3.88(3 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.37(4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.78-5.23 \quad(2 \mathrm{H}), \quad 7.54-7.00 \quad(9 \mathrm{H}) \quad \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 20.7,20.8,34.3,34.4,36.6$, 43.9, 44.6, 49.6, 50.8, 59.3, 59.6, 116.5, 116.7, 124.3, $124.4,126.2,127.9,128.0,128.0,128.1,128.1,128.2$, $128.6,128.8,129.2,129.6,132.5,132.9,135.1,135.2$, $135.3,136.0,164.5,164.6,170.5,170.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} /$ $z$ (\%): 397 [27], 395 [30], 343 [10], 341 [12], 261 [100]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 3017,2957,2260,1731,1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, $61.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.37 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.97$. Found: C, 61.23; H, 5.19; N, 6.02\%. 3c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 45 \%), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $55 \%), 3.77-3.21(3 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-3.95(2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.31(3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.79-4.62(2 \mathrm{H}), 5.68-5.29(2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-6.92$ $(11 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.81(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta$ 20.8, 34.7, 34.9, 43.7, 44.8, 49.6, 50.8, 59.6, 59.7, 65.0, 65.1, 124.4, 124.6, 126.0, 127.1, 127.5, 127.6, $127.8,128.0,128.5,128.9,129.0,129.1,129.1,129.2$, $129.8,129.9,132.5,132.9,133.1,134.4,134.9,134.9$, $135.4,136.1,136.8,163.9,164.1,170.5,170.6 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}$
(EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 512 [3.6], 510 [5.2], 370 [7.2], 368 [8.4], 91 [100], 77 [40]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 3070,3005,2946,1734,1661$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 59.59 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.17; N, 2.40. Found: C, 59.68; H, 5.23; N, 2.60\%.
> 4.4. General procedure for the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-(9aS,3aS)-2-benzyl-9-methylene-3-oxo-1,2,3,4,9,9a-hexahydro-benzo[f]isoindole-3a-carboxylic acid methyl ester (cis-4a), ( $\pm$ )-(9aS,3aR)-2-benzyl-9-methylene-3-oxo-1,2,3,4,9,9a-hexahydro-benzo[f]isoindole-3acarboxylic acid methyl ester (trans-4a), ( $\pm$ )-(9aS,3aS)-2-benzyl-9-methylene-3-oxo-1,2,3,4,9,9a-hexahydro-benzo[f]isoindole-3a-carbonitrile (cis-4b), ( $\pm$ )-(9aS,3aR)-2-benzyl-9-methylene-3-oxo-1,2,3,4,9,9a-hexahydro-benzo[f]isoindole-3a-carbonitrile (trans-4b), ( $\pm$ )-(9aS,3aS)-9a-benzenesulfonyl-2-benzyl-4-methylene-2,3,3a,4,9,9a-hexahydro-benzo[f]isoindol-1one (cis-4c)

To a solution of the acyclic substrate ( $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 b}$, or $\mathbf{3 c}$ ) ( 0.25 mmol ) in dry DMF ( 2 ml ), under argon atmosphere, cooled in a water-ice bath, $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) ( 0.28 mmol ) was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. for 20 min . In a separate flask, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dppe $(0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 1 ml ). The previously preformed enolate was transferred under argon atmosphere to the thus formed palladium(0) complex, and the resulting mixture was stirred at the proper temperature for the proper time (see Scheme 4). A $25 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The collected organic phases were dried and the solvent removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (hexanes:AcOEt) gave the pure compounds. (cis-4a, $49 \%$ and trans-4a, $32 \%$; cis-4b, $9 \%$ and trans-4b, 73\%; cis-4c, 82\%). cis-4a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08$ (part of AB system, d, 1H), 3.36 (part of AB system, d, 1H), 3.73 $(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00$ (part of AB system, d, 1H), 4.64 (part of $A B$ system, d, 1H), $5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.64-6.59 (2H), 7.31-7.10 (7H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 32.7,42.5,46.5,52.1,52.9$, $57.1,112.9,125.5,127.3,128.6,128.6,134.5,135.4$, 136.3, 145.1, 171.2, 171.9 ppm . MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 347 [40], 288 [88], 141 [42], 91 [100]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 3030$, 2955, 2926, 1739, $1691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 76.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.09 ; \mathrm{N}, ~ 4.03$. Found: C, $75.96 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.00 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.12 \%$. trans $-\mathbf{4 a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 200 MHz ): $\delta 3.08$ (part of AB system, d, 1 H ), 3.17 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ (part of AB system, d, 1 H ), $3.87(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10,9.1$ Hz ), 4.48 (part of AB system, d, 1H), 4.72 (part of AB system, d, 1 H$), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.15(8 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 29.7,35.4,47.0,41.2,52.3$, 56.6, 106.1, 124.3, 126.3, 127.8, 128.2, 128.6, 128.7,
130.2, 133.9, 135.3, 136.1, 140.2, 169.6, $171.8 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 347 [13], 288 [38], 155 [70], 91 [100]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 3028,2946,1740,1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. m.p. $106-$ $108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. cis-4b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.88$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.11 (part of AB system, d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ (part of AB system, d, 1 H ), 3.82-3.63 ( 2 H ), 4.05 (part of AB system, $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.66 (part of AB system, d, 1H), $5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.16(8 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta$ 29.7, 34.4, 42.8, 47.0, 51.1, 114.2, 119.6, $125.8,127.5,127.8,128.2,128.6,128.8,129.0,131.8$, 134.6, 135.4, 142.4, 167.5 ppm . MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 314 [23], 166 [7], 91 [100]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 3049,2926,2854$, 2242, $1708 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. trans-4b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200\right.$ MHz ): $\delta 3.05-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ (part of AB system, d, 1H), 3.72-3.42(2H), 3.54 (part of AB system, d, 1H), 4.53 (part of AB system, $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.66 (part of AB system, d, 1 H$), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43-7.16(8 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.64(1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 34.9,46.6,46.6,46.9,47.3$, $108,9,116.5,124.8,127.5,128.0,128.2,129.1,129.4$, 130.5, 131.6, 133.1, 135.3, 138.5, 167.8 ppm . MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} /$ $z$ (\%): 314 [42], 223 [3], 166 [10], 91 [100]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : 3032, 2929, 2854, 2236, $1709 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 80.23$; H, 5.77; N, 8.91. Found: C, 80.06; $\mathrm{H}, 5.63$; N, $8.90 \%$. cis $-4 \mathbf{c}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 2.75$ (part of AB system, 1 H ), $3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.94 (part of AB system, 1H), 4.27 (part of AB system, 1 H ), 4.59 (part of AB system, 1 H ), 5.23 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(7 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.48$ $(3 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(2 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $32.4,39.2,46.7,51.6,74.0,114.2,125.9,127.1,127.3$, $127.8,128.4,128.6,128.7,131.0,132.4,134.5,134.9$, $135.5,136.5,144.5,167.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 429$ [1], 288 [48], 196 [9], 91 [100]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 3068,2944,1703$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. m.p. $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 72.70; H, 5.40; N, 3.26. Found: C, 72.68; H, 5.36; N, $3.17 \%$.
4.5. ( $\pm$ )-cis-N-(4-Acetoxy-cyclohex-2-enyl)-N-benzyl-2-(2-bromo-benzyl)-malonamic acid methyl ester (6)

Solid NaH ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) was added to a dry DMF ( 20 ml ) stirred solution of cis-4-acetoxy-1-[benzyl(methylmalonyl)a-mino]-cyclohex-2-ene 5 [13] ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min stirring at this temperature and further 20 min at r.t., a dry DMF $(1 \mathrm{ml})$ solution of $o$ bromobenzylbromide ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ ) mmol was added to the thus formed enolate, and the resulting mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Water ( 10 ml ) and ether ( 50 ml ) were then added to the cooled mixture, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The collected organic layers were washed with water $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. Flash
chromatography (hexanes:AcOEt) of the crude product gave the bromobenzylated amide 6 ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.40-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-$ $4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 0.9 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-5.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2.6 \mathrm{H}), 5.68-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1.3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.3,22.2$, $27.8,30.7,36.7,49.5,53.0,53.7,65.4,66.1,125.3,126.6$, 126.7, 127.6, 129.5, 133.4, 134.7, 134.9, 138.2, 138.5, $170.4,170.5,170.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. IR (neat): 1740, 1650, 1430, $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)(\%): 516$ [70], 514 [70], 456 [100], 454 [100]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 60.71 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.49 ; N, 2.72. Found: C, 60.56 ; H, 5.60 ; N, $2.56 \%$.
4.6. ( $\pm$ )-trans- and cis-1-Benzyl-3-(2-bromo-benzyl)-2-oxo-2,3,3a,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1 H-indole-3-carboxylic acid methyl ester (trans-7) and (cis-7)

Solid $\mathrm{NaH}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) was added to a water-ice cooled dry DMF $(8 \mathrm{ml})$ solution of $6(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ under argon atmosphere, and the resulting suspension was stirred for 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further 20 min at r.t. In a separate flask, dppe ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a dry DMF ( 2 ml ) solution of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$. The previously generated enolate was then transferred under argon atmosphere to the yellow solution containing the palladium catalyst, and the resulting mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a $20-\mathrm{min}$ period. Water $(4 \mathrm{ml})$ and ether $(20$ $\mathrm{ml})$ were then added to the cooled mixture and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and the collected organic layers were washed with water $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the crude product (hexanes:AcOEt) gave trans-7 and cis-7 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). Trans- $7:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.65-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (part of AB system, $J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73$ (part of AB system, 1 H ), 3.90 (AB system, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ (part of AB system, 1H), $5.28-$ $5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 20.0,23.5,37.1,39.9,44.6,53.1,58,5,123.2$, $125.9,127.6,127.9,128.1,128.8,128.9,129.7,130.6$, 133.1, 136.3, 137.6, 127.7, 136.3, 171.3, 171.7 ppm . IR (neat): $1740,1690,1440,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 454.1016 (calculated: 454.1018) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right], 456.1012$ (calculated: 456.1001) $\quad\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+3\right] . \quad$ cis-7: $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.3-2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.08-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$ (part of AB system, 1 H$), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.88 (part of AB system, 1 H ), 3.98 (part of AB system, 1H), 5.14 (part of AB system, 1H), 5.84-5.90 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$ $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 22.6$, $23.3,36.3,44.4,52.5,54.1,61.9,124.2,127,5,127.7$,
127.9, 128.6, 128.8, 129.3, 133.0, 133.2, 135.9, 136.3, 171.0, 171.6 ppm . IR (neat): $1740,1690,1440,1220$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. MS $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)(\%): m / z 454$ [100], 456 [100].

## 4.7. ( $\pm$ )-(3aR,5aR,10bR,10cR)-4-Benzyl-5-oxo-3a,4,5,6,10b,10c-hexahydro-3H-4-aza-acephenanthrylene-5a-carboxylic acid methyl ester (8)

Dry DMF ( 0.4 ml ) was added to $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}(60 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.2 mmol ) and the resulting mixture was degassed twice. After addition of the Herrmann-Beller catalyst ( 2 mg , 0.0045 mmol ), the flask was degassed again and left under argon atmosphere. In a separate flask, NaH (4 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) was added to a water-ice cooled, dry and degassed DMF ( 4 ml ) solution of the precursor $6(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 10 $\min$ stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further 20 min at r.t., the thus formed enolate was transferred under argon atmosphere into the palladium mixture, and heating was immediately brought to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 h stirring at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction flask was let it cool to r.t., treated with water ( 10 ml ) and ether ( 20 ml ), and the phases were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$, and the collected organic layers were washed with water ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduce pressure. Flash chromatography (hexanes:AcOEt) of the resulting crude product gave the pure tetracycle $\mathbf{8}$ an oil ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ), plus minor amounts of trans-7 and cis-7. When AcOK was used instead of $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$, under otherwise identical conditions, tetracycle $\mathbf{8}$ was obtained in $40 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.07 (dd, $J=7.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.21 (part of AB system, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (part of AB system, 1 H ), $3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96($ part of AB system, 1 H$), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81$ (part of AB system, 1 H$), 6.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.5,14.0,20.5,26.2,31.0$, 42.5, 46.1, 49.0, 146.9, 149.2,149.3, 150.2, 151.3, 151.7, 156.4, 161.9, 162.2, 164.3 ppm . IR (neat): 1750, 1690, 1450, $1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right): m / z 374.1751$ (calc. $374.1756)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right]$.

### 4.8. Crystal data for cis-4c

$\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, \quad M=429.51$, orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=16.362(5) \AA, b=12.570(5) \AA, c=$ 20.852(5) $\AA, V=4289(2) \AA^{3}, Z=8, F(000)=1808$, $\mu=1.568 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.330 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. Data set, consisting of $3655\left(2 \theta_{\max }=120^{\circ}\right)$ reflections, was collected on a P4 Siemens X-ray diffractometer using the $\left(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)$ radiation $(\lambda=1.5418 \AA)$ for the cell parameter determination and data collection. The intensities of two standard reflections were monitored during data collection to check the stability of the crystal; no loss of intensity was recognized. The integrated intensities,
measured at room temperature ( 293 K ) using the $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan mode, were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects [15]. The structure was solved by direct methods of $\operatorname{SIR} 97$ [16] and refined using the full-matrix leastsquares on $F^{2}$ provided by shelxl 97 [17]. Anisotropic thermal parameters were used for all the non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms of the phenyl rings were assigned in calculated positions, while the others were localized in final difference Fourier map. The final $R$ index was 0.0422 for reflections having $I>2 \sigma(I)$ and 0.0560 for all data.

## 5. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structural analysis have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC No. 209018 for compound cis-4. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: +44-1223-336033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http:// www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk].
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